11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

•	0
Σ	EL
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	S
5	z o
>	000
N	O
ø	ø
Ш	s
11	ΕYS
\neg	Z
ェ	~
O	0
5	-
<	A

1	COLT B. DODRILL, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar No. 9000
2	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP
3	980 Kelly Johnson Drive, Suite 140
	Las Vegas, NV 89119
4	cbdodrill@wolfewyman.com
•	Tel: (702) 476-0100
5	Fax: (702) 476-0101
6	Attannas Can Dafan Janta
0	Attorneys for Defendants
_	GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC,
7	HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL NETWORK
	INC., EXECUTIVE TRUSTEE SERVICES,
8	LLC, AND FEDERAL NATIONAL
	MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION
9	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TAYLOR LEE: REYNOLDS & CONNIE-
BURLYNE: EVANS, COMMON-PEACEFUL-
PEOPLE,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL NETWORK, INC. et al., GMAC MORTGAGE et al., EXECUTIVE TRUSTEE SERVICES, LLC., FANNIE MAE/FREDDIE MAC

Defendants.

Case No. 3:11-cv-00914-RCJ -WGC

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ADMINISTRATIVELY **CLOSE REDUNDANT CASE**

[LR 7-2]

Defendants, GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC ("GMACM"), EXECUTIVE TRUSTEE SERVICES, LLC ("ETS"), HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL NETWORK, INC. ("Homecomings), and FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION ("Fannie Mae") (incorrectly designated in the caption as "Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac"), by and through their attorneys, Wolfe & Wyman LLP, filed a Motion to Administratively Close Case (Docket No. 5) on January 18, 2012. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Administratively Close Case was due by February 6, 2012. The Court having considering the moving papers, its own files, and good cause appearing, rules as follows:

968889.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

///

///

///

- 1. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(c), any Response and/or Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Administratively Close Case was required to be filed with the Court and served within fourteen (14) days after service of the Motion. No Response and/or Opposition has been submitted to the Court or served on opposing party within the prescribed timeline. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(i), the failure of an opposing party to file Points and Authority in response to any motion shall constitute consent to the granting of the motion.
- 2. The Court may grant the Motion to Administratively Close Case without a hearing where a Local Rule provides another party who has failed to timely file an Opposition is deemed to have waived any objection to the Motion. Eaton v. Reno, 216 F.3d 1082, 1082 (9th Cir. 2000).
- 3. The Court may grant the Motion to Dismiss for failure to follow local rules if these five factors weigh in favor of dismissal: "(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants, (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions." Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995).
- 4. The Court finds that the five Ghazali factors weigh in favor of dismissal, especially in light of this matter remains pending under case number 3:11-cv-00910-RCJ –VPC.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED granting Defendants' Motion to Administratively Close Case Plaintiffs' Complaint.

/// 22 /// 23 24 /// 25 ///

27 /// /// 28

///

26

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On February 14, 2012, I served the PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSE REDUNDANT CASE by the following means to the persons as
listed below:
a. EFC System (you must attach the "Notice of Electronic Filing", or list all
persons and addresses and attach additional paper if necessary):
X b. United States Mail, postage fully pre-paid (List persons and addresses. Attach
additional paper if necessary):
Taylor L. Reynolds Connie B. Evans 5691 Camus Road Carson City, NV 89701 Plaintiffs in Proper Person

By: /s/ Katia Ioffe

Katia Ioffe An employee of Wolfe & Wyman LLP

968889.1